

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasotra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/559,694	05/01/2006	Wolfgang Kreisel	64609(70301)	3005	
21874 7550 01/29/2009 EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE LLP EXAMINER			IINER		
P.O. BOX 558	74		STONE, CHR	STONE, CHRISTOPHER R	
BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1614		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/29/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/559,694	KREISEL, WOLFGANG		
Examiner	Art Unit		
CHRISTOPHER R. STONE	1614		

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 06 October 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. \(\times \) The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandomment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection,
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1,136(a) and the appropriate extension feet have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lates than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1 37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>
 ∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7. ☐ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed:
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected: 1-4 and 8-12.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 5-7 and 13-16.

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 13. Other:

/Christopher R Stone/ Examiner, Art Unit 1614

/Patricia A. Duffy/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1645 Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment requires further consideration by limiting the administration to a human, which was not previously required in all claims (e.g. in claims 4, 11 and 12).

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant targues that the examiner has a misunderstanding regarding the difference between portal hypertension and systemic hypertension. Applicant then attemps to explain the background of the invention (i.e. information available to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instantly claimed invention) and the information derivable from Garcia et all by one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instantly claimed invention. This is found unpersuasive, because all the documents cited to provide background information and therefore to demonstrate the information derivable from Garcia et all by one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the instantly claimed invention (i.e. the cited references post date the filing date of the instant application) and therefore fall to provide background information as alleged by Applicant. Additionally the Examiner is aware of difference between systemic and portal hypertension, as is Garcia et al. As noted in the Final Rejection mailed August 5, 2008, Carcia et al explicitly teaches that certain dosages of the PDE V inhibitor, Sidenafil, decreases portal veln pressure, Garcia et al additionally shall be such as all decreases mesenteric vascular resistance/resistance in the portal bed, i.e., sildenafil displays activities which would be exceeded to decrease portal veln pressure (abstract).

/Patricia A. Duffy/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1645